

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

IN RE: E. I. DU PONT DE
NEMOURS AND COMPANY C-8
PERSONAL INJURY LITIGATION,

Civil Action 2:13-md-2433
CHIEF JUDGE EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR.
Magistrate Judge Elizabeth P. Deavers

This document relates to:

*Judith Ball and Robert Ball v. E. I. du Pont
de Nemours and Company*, Case No. 2:17-
cv-00309

*Sheila Arnold v. E. I. du Pont de Nemours
and Company*, Case No. 2:17-cv-00306

*Nathan Arnold v. E. I. du Pont de Nemours
and Company*, Case No. 2:17-cv-00302

*Jimmy Atkins v. E. I. du Pont de Nemours
and Company*, Case No. 2:17-cv-00289

*Cindy Bush v. E. I. du Pont de Nemours and
Company*, Case No. 2:17-cv-00305

*Charlene Clonch v. E. I. du Pont de
Nemours and Company*, Case No. 2:17-cv-
00290

*Kelli Hubbard v. E. I. du Pont de Nemours
and Company*, Case No. 2:17-cv-00292

*Benjamin King v. E. I. du Pont de Nemours
and Company*, Case No. 2:17-cv-00301

*Marvin Luckeydoo v. E. I. du Pont de
Nemours and Company*, Case No. 2:17-cv-
00293

Kenneth McClure v. E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Case No. 2:17-cv-00303

Janice McKibben v. E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Case No. 2:17-cv-00300

Bonnie Mixon v. E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Case No. 2:17-cv-00298

Eric Myers v. E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Case No. 2:17-cv-00291

Donna Lee Powell v. E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Case No. 2:17-cv-00299

Randall Powell v. E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Case No. 2:17-cv-00296

Kayla Reed v. E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Case No. 2:17-cv-00307

Charles Rickard v. E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Case No. 2:17-cv-00308

Danielle Sloan v. E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Case No. 2:17-cv-00297

Randall Smith, Personally and as Administrator for the Estate of Lisa S. Smith v. E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Case No. 2:17-cv-00295

Ada Thomas v. E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Case No. 2:17-cv-00304

Rae Lynn Whaley v. E. I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, Case No. 2:17-cv-00294

OPINION AND ORDER

This matter is before the Court on the Joint Motion for Stay of Time to Answer Complaints. (ECF No. 5101) In the Joint Motion, the parties inform the Court that the above-captioned cases are subject to, and are being addressed by, the Master Settlement Agreement (“MSA”), which was signed and executed by the parties in this MDL on March 31, 2017. Accordingly, the parties’ request for an Order staying the time for Defendant to answer the Plaintiffs’ Complaints, is well taken, and is hereby **GRANTED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

6-26-2017
DATE


EDMUND A. SARGUS, JR.
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE